decided by civil courts of a lower status and thus room is given to much inconvenience and irregularities. It is therefore requested that orders may be issued to fix the jurisdiction of the courts upon the value of the land and not upon its assessment.

Messrs. J. Subba Rao, Malali Venkataramiah, K. Ramanuja Iyengar, H. Surasastri, S. Ramanna, D. Alasingrachar, K. Ramanna, H. Krishnappa and C. Narasimhiah, opposed the proposal on the ground that the existing rule is working smoothly, that no inconvenience is felt, and that the introduction of an Act like the Suits Valuation Act for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of the court would only enable the defendant to put forward frivolous pleas and thus cause delay in the disposal of suits.

*Reply of Government.*—The existing rule will not be changed.

SUBJECT No. 57.

Revival of the Rule of Practice, *re* search of Registry, by decree-holders in execution of sales.

Messrs. S. Ramanna, Hassan Municipality, and Maralusiddappa, Hassan District Board.

*Summary of discussion.*—The civil courts sell the right, title and interest of the judgment-debtor at the instance of the decree-holder. Under the present Rule of Practice, the decree-holders are not required to cause a search to be made in the Registry Office to ascertain whether any encumbrances are created by the judgment-debtors, in respect of properties to be sold by the court. Under Order XXI, Rule 66 (*c*), the proclamation of sale should specify, fairly and accurately, among other things, any encumbrance to which the property is liable. Unless a search is made in the Registry Office, the provision of Law will not be accurately complied with. The purchaser looks to the proclamation of sale for material particulars. If there is any misstatement of facts, the purchaser suffers loss. It is therefore prayed that the old Rule of Practice, dated 20th December 1880, requiring the decree-holder to cause a search to be made in the Registry Office may be revived.

Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit opposed the suggestion on the ground that the existing procedure is simple and works no hardship either to the parties or to the purchaser in auction.

*Reply of Government.*—The new rule was only recently made by the Chief Court. They will however be again consulted.

SUBJECT No. 58.

Rule of Practice issued by the Chief Court on the civil side.

Messrs. M. L. Narasimhachar, Hassan District Board, and Yajaman Ramabhatta, Kadur District Board.

Withdrawn.